



RI TRANSPARENCY REPORT 2017

Perpetual Investments



An investor initiative in partnership with UNEP Finance Initiative and UN Global Compact

About this report

The PRI Reporting Framework is a key step in the journey towards building a common language and industry standard for reporting responsible investment (RI) activities. This RI Transparency Report is one of the key outputs of this Framework. Its primary objective is to enable signatory transparency on RI activities and facilitate dialogue between investors and their clients, beneficiaries and other stakeholders. A copy of this report will be publicly disclosed for all reporting signatories on the <u>PRI website</u>, ensuring accountability of the PRI Initiative and its signatories.

This report is an export of the individual Signatory organisation's response to the PRI during the 2016 reporting cycle. It includes their responses to mandatory indicators, as well as responses to voluntary indicators the signatory has agreed to make public. The information is presented exactly as it was reported. Where an indicator offers a response option that is multiple-choice, all options that were available to the signatory to select are presented in this report. Presenting the information exactly as reported is a result of signatory feedback which suggested the PRI not summarise the information. As a result, the reports can be extensive. However, to help easily locate information, there is a **Principles index** which highlights where the information can be found and summarises the indicators that signatories complete and disclose.

Understanding the Principles Index

The Principles Index summarises the response status for the individual indicators and modules and shows how these relate to the six <u>Principles for Responsible Investment</u>. It can be used by stakeholders as an 'at-a-glance' summary of reported information and to identify particular themes or areas of interest.

Indicators can refer to one or more Principles. Some indicators are not specific to any Principle. These are highlighted in the 'General' column. When multiple Principles are covered across numerous indicators, in order to avoid repetition, only the main Principle covered is highlighted.

All indicators within a module are presented below. The status of indicators is shown with the following symbols:

Symbol	Status
~	The signatory has completed all mandatory parts of this indicator
	The signatory has completed some parts of this indicator
6	This indicator was not relevant for this signatory
-	The signatory did not complete any part of this indicator
R	The signatory has flagged this indicator for internal review

Within the table, indicators marked in blue are mandatory to complete. Indicators marked in grey are voluntary to complete.

1



Principles Index

Organisational Overview							сір	General		
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
OO 01	Signatory category and services	1	Public							✓
OO 02	Headquarters and operational countries	1	Public							✓
OO 03	Subsidiaries that are separate PRI signatories	~	Public							✓
OO 04	Reporting year and AUM	✓	Public							✓
OO 05	Breakdown of AUM by asset class	1	Asset mix disclosed in OO 06							~
OO 06	How would you like to disclose your asset class mix	~	Public							✓
OO 07	Fixed income AUM breakdown	1	Private							✓
OO 08	Segregated mandates or pooled funds	8	n/a							✓
OO 09	Breakdown of AUM by market	1	Private							✓
OO 10	RI activities for listed equities	~	Public							✓
00 11	RI activities in other asset classes	✓	Public							✓
00 12	Modules and sections required to complete	✓	n/a							✓
OO End	Module confirmation page	1	-							



Strategy and Governance							Principle			General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
SG 01	RI policy and coverage	✓	Public							√
SG 02	Publicly available RI policy or guidance documents	~	Public						~	
SG 03	Conflicts of interest	✓	Public							1
SG 04		1	Public							√
SG 05	RI goals and objectives	✓	Public							 ✓
SG 06	Main goals/objectives this year	✓	Private							~
SG 07	RI roles and responsibilities	✓	Public							√
SG 08	RI in performance management, reward and/or personal development	~	Private							~
SG 09	Collaborative organisations / initiatives	✓	Public				✓	✓		
SG 10	Promoting RI independently	1	Public				 ✓ 			
SG 11	Dialogue with public policy makers or standard setters	•	Private				~	~	~	
SG 12	ESG issues in strategic asset allocation	✓	Public	 ✓ 						
SG 13	Long term investment risks and opportunity	~	Private	~						
SG 14	Allocation of assets to environmental and social themed areas	*	Private	~						
SG 15	ESG issues for internally managed assets not reported in framework	~	Public							~
SG 16	ESG issues for externally managed assets not reported in framework	8	n/a							✓
SG 17	Innovative features of approach to RI	 ✓ 	Public							√
SG End	Module confirmation page	V								



Direct -	irect - Listed Equity Incorporation							Principle				
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6			
EI 01	Breakdown by passive, quantitative, fundamental and other active strategies	1	Private							√		
.EI 02	Reporting on strategies that are <10% of actively managed listed equities	₿	n/a							✓		
EI 03	Percentage of each incorporation strategy	1	Public	~								
EI 04	Type of ESG information used in investment decision	1	Private	~								
EI 05	Information from engagement and/or voting used in investment decision- making	~	Private	~								
EI 06	Types of screening applied	✓	Public	✓								
EI 07	Processes to ensure screening is based on robust analysis	1	Public	~								
EI 08	Processes to ensure fund criteria are not breached	*	Private	~								
EI 09	Types of sustainability thematic funds/mandates	8	n/a	~								
EI 10	Review ESG issues while researching companies/sectors	~	Public	~								
EI 11	Processes to ensure integration is based on robust analysis	1	Private	~								
EI 12	Aspects of analysis ESG information is integrated into	×	Private	~								
EI 13	ESG issues in index construction		n/a	\checkmark								
EI 14	How ESG incorporation has influenced portfolio composition	~	Public	~								
EI 15	Measurement of financial and ESG outcomes of ESG incorporation	✓	Private	✓								
EI 16	Examples of ESG issues that affected your investment view / performance	~	Private	~								
EI 17	Disclosure of approach to ESG incorporation		Public		~				~			
	Module confirmation page	✓	-									



Direct -	Listed Equity Active Ownership		Principle					General		
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
LEA 01	Description of approach to engagement	√	Public		✓					
EA 02	Reasoning for interaction on ESG issues	1	Public	 ✓ 	~	~				
LEA 03	Process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities	~	Public		~					
EA 04	Objectives for engagement activities	✓	Public		 ✓ 					
EA 05	Process for identifying and prioritising collaborative engagement	8	n/a		~					
EA 06	Objectives for engagement activities	₿	n/a		 ✓ 					
EA 07	Role in engagement process	8	n/a		 ✓ 		 ✓ 			
EA 08	Monitor / discuss service provider information	8	n/a		~				~	
_EA 09	Share insights from engagements with internal/external managers	1	Private	~	~					
EA 10	Tracking number of engagements	-	Public		\checkmark					
EA 11	Number of companies engaged with, intensity of engagement and effort	8	n/a		~					
.EA 12	Engagement methods	 ✓ 	Private		 Image: A set of the set of the					
.EA 13	Engagements on E, S and/or G issues	₿	n/a		✓					
_EA 14	Companies changing practices / behaviour following engagement	1	Private		~					
EA 15	Examples of ESG engagements	\checkmark	Private		✓					
.EA 16	Disclosure of approach to ESG engagements	\checkmark	Public		~				~	
.EA 17	Voting policy & approach	1	Public	\checkmark	✓	✓				
EA 18	Typical approach to (proxy) voting decisions	✓	Public		~					
.EA 19	Percentage of voting recommendations reviewed	8	n/a		~					
EA 20	Confirmation of votes	-	Private		✓					
EA 21	Securities lending programme	\checkmark	Private		 ✓ 					
EA 22	Informing companies of the rationale of abstaining/voting against management	•	Public		~					
EA 23	Percentage of (proxy) votes cast	1	Public		 ✓ 					
EA 24	Proportion of ballot items that were for/against/abstentions	1	Public		~					
EA 25	Shareholder resolutions	✓	Private		 ✓ 					
EA 26	Examples of (proxy) voting activities	✓	Private		~					
EA 27	Disclosing voting activities	1	Public		✓				~	
EA End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							



Perpetual Investments

Reported Information

Public version

Organisational Overview

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.







	OO 03.1	Indicate whether y their own right.	/ou have s	ubsidiari	es within yc	our organisati	on that are a	also PRI signa	tories in
	○ Yes								
	● No								
00 04	Man	ndatory			Public	Gate	eway/Peerin	g	General
	00 04.1	Indicate the year	end date fo	or your re	eporting yea	r.			
30/06/2016									
	00 04.2	Indicate your total not to report on.	AUM at th	ne end of	f your report	ing year, exc	luding subsi	diaries you ha	wa chacan
									ive chosen
			trillions	billions	millions	thousands	hundreds]	
		Total AUM	trillions	billions 28	millions	thousands 470	hundreds 790		
		Total AUM Currency	trillions AUD]	

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

OO 06.1 How you would like to disclose your asset class mix.

O as percentage breakdown

as broad ranges

	Internally managed (%)	Externally managed (%)
Listed equity	>50%	0
Fixed income	<10%	0
Private equity	0	0
Property	0	0
Infrastructure	0	0
Commodities	0	0
Hedge funds	0	0

Forestry	0	0
Farmland	0	0
Inclusive finance	0	0
Cash	10-50%	0
Other (1), specify	0	0
Other (2), specify	0	0

OO 06.2

Publish our asset class mix as per attached image [Optional].

Gate	eway a	sset class implemer	tation indica	ators					
00 10	Mar	ndatory		Public	Gateway	General			
00 1	0.1	Select the direct or in equities in the reportin		orporation a	ctivities your organisation i	mplemented for listed			
⊻ W	e incorp	oorate ESG in our invest	ment decisions	s on our inte	rnally managed assets				
	□ We do not incorporate ESG in our directly managed listed equity and/or we do not address ESG incorporation in our external manager selection, appointment and/or monitoring processes.								
00 1	0.2	Select the direct or in- the reporting year.	direct engagen	nent activitie	es your organisation implen	nented for listed equity in			
⊻ W	e enga	ge with companies on E	SG factors via	our staff, co	llaborations or service prov	viders			
	e do no	t engage directly and do	not require ex	ternal mana	agers to engage with comp	anies on ESG factors.			
00 1	0.3	Select the direct or in- reporting year	direct voting ac	ctivities your	organisation implemented	for listed equity in the			
V M	e cast o	our (proxy) votes directly	or via dedicate	ed voting pro	oviders				
\Box W	e do no	t cast our (proxy) votes	directly and do	not require	external managers to vote	on our behalf			

00 11	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	General
-------	-----------	--------	---------	---------



00 11.1

Select the internally managed asset classes in which you addressed ESG incorporation into your investment decisions and/or your active ownership practices (during the reporting year).

- ☑ Fixed income SSA
- ☑ Fixed income corporate (financial)
- ☑ Fixed income corporate (non-financial)
- $\ensuremath{\boxtimes}$ Fixed income securitised
- 🗹 Cash
- $\hfill\square$ None of the above



Perpetual Investments

Reported Information

Public version

Strategy and Governance

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.





New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 01.1	Indicate if you have an investment policy that covers your responsible investment approach.
Yes	

SG 01.2 Indicate the components/types and coverage of your policy.

Select all that apply

Policy components/types	Coverage by AUM
☑ Policy setting out your overall approach	O Applicable policies cover all AUM
□ Formalised guidelines on environmental factors	 Applicable policies cover a majority of AUM
□ Formalised guidelines on social factors	\bigcirc Applicable policies cover a minority of AUM
☑ Formalised guidelines on corporate governance factors	
□ Asset class-specific RI guidelines	
Sector specific RI guidelines	
Screening / exclusions policy	
☑ Engagement policy	
☑ (Proxy) voting policy	
□ Other, specify (1)	
□ Other, specify(2)	



SG 01.4 Indicate what norms you have used to develop your investment policy that covers your responsible investment approach.

- □ UN Global Compact Principles
- □ UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights
- □ Universal Declaration of Human Rights
- □ International Bill of Human Rights
- □ International Labour Organization Conventions
- □ United Nations Convention Against Corruption
- OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises
- \Box Other, specify (1)
- \Box Other, specify (2)
- \Box Other, specify (3)
- ☑ None of the above

 \bigcirc No



PERPETUAL INVESTMENTS RESPONSIBLE INVESTMENT POLICY

The policy sets out Perpetual Investments' approach and expectations for considering ESG factors in our investment decision-making and ownership practices.

It is our policy that, to the extent that information is available, investment managers should incorporate ESG issues into investment analysis and decision-making.

Our duty to our clients requires us to seek the best risk-adjusted investment returns over specified time periods. We satisfy this obligation by focusing on both the quality and value of possible investments. For us, the consideration of ESG factors does not include making ethical or moral judgements on particular practices or issues. Instead, when deciding whether to buy, retain or sell an investment, our investment managers consider those ESG risks that are relevant to the current or future value of the investment.

It is our policy that investment managers have a duty to use their influence as a shareholder (i.e. in equity strategies) in order to achieve better investment outcomes over the long term (i.e. engagement).

To demonstrate our commitment to responsible investment, we became a signatory to the PRI on 21 October 2009.

Our Responsible Investment Policy can be found at:

https://www.perpetual.com.au/~/media/Perpetual/PDF/Shareholders/Responsible-Investing-Policy.ashx?la=en

Also see our CORPORATE GOVERNANCE AND PROXY VOTING POLICY:

https://www.perpetual.com.au/~/media/Perpetual/PDF/Shareholders/Proxy-voting-policy-flyer-wl.ashx?la=en

SG 02 Man	ndatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 6
-----------	---------	--------	---------------	-------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

Policy setting out your overall approach





□ Attachment (will be made public)

☑ Formalised guidelines on corporate governance factors

	URL/Attachment	
⊠ URL		
	URL	
<u>https://v</u> wl.ashx		u/~/media/Perpetual/PDF/Shareholders/Proxy-voting-policy-flyer-

□ Attachment (will be made public)

☑ Screening / exclusions policy



☑ Engagement policy



Policy.ashx?la=en

□ Attachment (will be made public)

☑ (Proxy) voting policy



	URL/Attachment
☑ URL	
	URL
http://w	ww.perpetual.com.au/~/media/Perpetual/PDF/Shareholders/Proxy-voting-policy-flyer-

□ Attachment (will be made public)

 $\hfill\square$ We do not publicly disclose our investment policy documents

SG 03	SG 03 Mandatory		Public	Core Assessed	General		
SG 0		Indicate if your organisation has a policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.					
• Ye	es .						
	SG 03.2	Describe your policy on manag	ging potential confli	cts of interest in the investment p	process.		
	 Our two policies are: Conflicts of Interest - Corporate. Arises when Perpetual has competing interests or duties whi interfere with its ability to objectively perform a duty or service, exercise a discretion or make a decision. Expressed another way, a Conflict of Interest is likely to occur when some or all of the interests of clients are inconsistent with or diverge from the interests of Perpetual. Conflicts of Interest - Personal. Arises when an Employee in a personal capacity has competing interests or duties which may interfere with their ability to objectively perform a duty or service exercise a discretion or make a decision. Expressed another way, a personal Conflict of Interest is likely to occur when some or all of the interests of Perpetual or its clients are inconsistent with diverge from the interests of the Employee. 						
○ No SG 04	Volunta	ary	Public	Descriptive	General		
SG 0		ndicate if your organisation has a p ortfolio companies.	process for identifyi	ng and managing incidents that	occur within		

Yes	
\bigcirc No	

SG 04.2 Describe your process on managing incidents

Like any material incident, the implications of any ESG incident, including the resulting stock price move, are considered in light of our investment thesis for the stock. Management of the incident may include company contact and engagement and external communication (i.e. to clients, etc.). Any subsequent changes to our portfolios (buy, sell or hold) that result from the above will be the decision of our portfolio managers.





SG 0	SG 07 Ma		ndatory	Public	Core Assessed	General
	SG 07.1 Indicate the roles present in your of and/or implementation responsibility				have oversight	

Roles present in your organisation

- ☑ Board members or trustees
 - ☑ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - □ Implementation of responsible investment
 - □ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO), Investment Committee
 - $\ensuremath{\boxtimes}$ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - ☑ Implementation of responsible investment
 - □ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- □ Other Chief-level staff or head of department, specify
- ☑ Portfolio managers
 - □ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - ☑ Implementation of responsible investment
 - □ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- ☑ Investment analysts
 - □ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - \square Implementation of responsible investment
 - □ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- ☑ Dedicated responsible investment staff
 - ☑ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - ☑ Implementation of responsible investment
 - □ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- ☑ External managers or service providers
 - □ Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - ☑ Implementation of responsible investment
 - □ No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- □ Investor relations
- □ Other role, specify (1)
- \Box Other role, specify (2)

SG 07.2

For the roles for which you have RI oversight/accountability or implementation responsibilities, indicate how you execute these responsibilities.

- Board members ultimate oversight/accountability for responsible investment
- Group Executive (CEO) accountable for all aspects of responsible investment policy, oversight, collaborative initiative involvement
- Portfolio managers, investment analysts implementation of responsible investment via ESG integration
- Dedicated responsible investment staff accountability for responsible investment framework, assist in implementation of responsible investment
- Service providers assist in implementation of responsible investment via specialist research





Promoting responsible investment							
SG 09	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 4,5			

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

Select the collaborative organisation and/or initiatives of which your organisation is a member or in which it participated during the reporting year, and the role you played.

Select all that apply

Principles for Responsible Investment

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- ☑ Basic
- □ Moderate
- □ Advanced
- □ AFIC La Commission ESG
- □ Asian Corporate Governance Association
- ☑ Australian Council of Superannuation Investors

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions) ☑ Basic

- □ Moderate
- □ Advanced

Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]

- Participation in ACSI ESG initiatives such as the Board Confidence Index - Consultation and collaboration re ad-hoc ESG issues, e.g. ESG Reporting Guide for Australian Companies

□ BVCA – Responsible Investment Advisory Board

CDP Climate Change



Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- ☑ Basic
- □ Moderate
- □ Advanced
- CDP Forests
- CDP Water
- □ CFA Institute Centre for Financial Market Integrity
- $\hfill\square$ Code for Responsible Investment in SA (CRISA)
- $\hfill\square$ Code for Responsible Finance in the 21st Century
- □ Council of Institutional Investors (CII)
- □ ESG Research Australia
- \Box Eumedion
- EVCA Responsible Investment Roundtable
- Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (EITI)
- □ Global Investors Governance Network (GIGN)
- □ Global Impact Investing Network (GIIN)
- □ Global Real Estate Sustainability Benchmark (GRESB)
- □ Green Bond Principles
- □ Institutional Investors Group on Climate Change (IIGCC)
- □ Interfaith Center on Corporate Responsibility (ICCR)
- □ International Corporate Governance Network (ICGN)
- ☑ Investor Group on Climate Change, Australia/New Zealand (IGCC)

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

☑ Basic

□ Moderate

- □ Advanced
- □ International Integrated Reporting Council (IIRC)
- □ Investor Network on Climate Risk (INCR)/CERES
- □ Local Authority Pension Fund Forum
- □ Principles for Sustainable Insurance
- Regional or National Social Investment Forums (e.g. UKSIF, Eurosif, ASRIA, RIAA), specify
- $\hfill\square$ Responsible Finance Principles in Inclusive Finance
- $\hfill\square$ Shareholder Association for Research and Education (Share)
- \Box United Nations Environmental Program Finance Initiative (UNEP FI)
- $\hfill\square$ United Nations Global Compact
- $\ensuremath{\boxtimes}$ Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
 - FSC (Financial Services Council) ESG Working Group



- Basic
- □ Moderate
- $\ensuremath{\boxtimes} \mathsf{Advanced}$

Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]

Provided Chairman and additional member to ESG working group, and significant content re submissions, etc.

☑ Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

Responsible Investment Association of Australia (RIAA)

Your organisat	n's role in the initiative during the reporting year (see definitions)
Basic	
☑ Moderate	
□ Advanced	

Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative [Optional]

RIAA certification on PI's ESG specialist investment products (e.g Ethical SRI share strategy).

- □ Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- □ Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

SG 1	G 10 Mandatory			Public	Core Assessed	PRI 4	
			Indicate if you initiatives.	your organisation promotes responsible investment, independently of co		estment, independently of collab	orative
	● Yes						



SG 10.2 Indicate which of the following actions your organisation has taken to promote responsible investment, independently of collaborative initiatives.

☑ Provided or supported education or training programmes for clients, investment managers, broker/dealers, investment consultants, legal advisers or other investment organisations

Provided financial support for academic or industry research on responsible investment

 $\ensuremath{\boxdot}$ Encouraged better transparency and disclosure of responsible investment practices across the investment industry

- $\ensuremath{\boxtimes}$ Spoke publicly at events and conferences to promote responsible investment
- ☑ Wrote and published in-house research papers on responsible investment
- ☑ Encouraged the adoption of the PRI
- $\hfill\square$ Wrote articles on responsible investment in the media.
- \Box Other, specify

 \bigcirc No

SG 10.3

Describe any additional actions and initiatives that your organisation has taken part in during the reporting year to promote responsible investment [Optional]

- ESG training including conferences, etc
- Educational documents on ethical/SRI investing
- Ethical and other ESG related speeches and presentations
- Thought leadership and other ESG external papers
- Internal ESG research notes
- ESG engagement

Implementation not in other modules SG 12 Mandatory Public Descriptive

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.



 $\ensuremath{\boxtimes}$ We execute scenario analysis which includes factors representing the investment impacts of future environmental trends

 \Box We execute scenario analysis which includes factors representing the investment impacts of future social trends

 \Box We execute scenario analysis which includes factors representing the investment impacts of future governance trends

 $\hfill\square$ We execute other scenario analysis, specify

□ We do not execute such scenario analysis and/or modelling



SG 12.2 Indicate if your organisation considers ESG issues in strategic asset allocation and/or allocation of assets between sectors or geographic markets.

 \bigcirc We do the following

• We do not consider ESG issues in strategic asset allocation

SG 12.3	Additional information. [OPTIONAL]
---------	------------------------------------

Carbon impact risk analysis

SG 1	SG 15 Mandatory		Public	Descriptive	General	
	SG 15.	1	Describe how you address ESG iss asset class module has yet to be de your assets are below the minimum	eveloped or for whi	S	

Asset Class	Describe what processes are in place and the outputs or outcomes achieved
Fixed income - SSA	Our ESG approach to this asset class is still being developed
Fixed income - Corporate (financial)	Consistent with our Responsible Investment Policy, in corporate fixed income any significant ESG risks for an issuer/industry is captured as part of our credit analysis. However our approach is still being developed.
Fixed income - Corporate (non- financial)	Consistent with our Responsible Investment Policy, in corporate fixed income any significant ESG risks for an issuer/industry is captured as part of our credit analysis. However our approach is still being developed.
Fixed income - Securitised	Our ESG approach to this asset class is still being developed
Cash	Our ESG approach to this asset class is still being developed

	Innovation						
SG 1	7	Vol	untary	Public	Descriptive	General	
	SG 17	.1	Indicate whether any specific featur innovative.	res of your approad	ch to responsible investment are p	barticularly	

Yes



SG 17.2

Describe any specific features of your approach to responsible investment that you believe are particularly innovative.

Better ESG Engagement Outcomes - our policy is that investment managers have a duty to use their influence as a shareholder/asset manager in order to achieve better investment outcomes over the long term. This includes ESG issues. This engagement is possible due to our large experienced equities team, and more effective due to our size (we often own 10-15% of small companies) which gives us unparalleled access to company management and Boards. Thus we are able to formulate and execute innovative engagement strategies to leverage better shareholder outcomes, for example:

- sharing our industry knowledge and contacts with the company to facilitate change, e.g. to company Boards;
- influencing AGM (shareholder voting) issues;
- calling our own shareholder meetings; and
- public campaigns on ESG (using media), etc.

 \bigcirc No



Perpetual Investments

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Listed Equity Incorporation

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



ESG incorporation in actively managed listed equities





LEI 03.2 Describe your organisation's approach to incorporation and the reasons for choosing the particular ESG incorporation strategy/strategies.

- All of the Australian equities managed by Perpetual's Investments internal equities team (the above 98% of FUM) is covered by the ESG incorporation process (Quality Filters exclusion screen and ESG integration process) as described in this module. This is consistent with our approach to responsible investment and ESG issues as described in previous modules.
- Note that a small (<10%) of this AUM is managed in specialist ESG equities strategies Ethical SRI Fund and client 'Ethical' mandates, where additional ESG exclusion screens apply.
- The remaining 2% of our FUM above where no ESG incorporation applies is managed internally in smaller funds, (e.g. smart Beta strategy).

LEI 03.3

Where assets are managed using a combination of ESG incorporation strategies, briefly describe how these combinations are used. [Optional]

Ethical SRI Fund and client 'Ethical' mandates

The general ESG incorporation processes as described in this module also apply to the above two strategies, i.e. all companies must pass our Quality Filters and portfolio managers need to consider ESG risks in their decision-making. However for the above Funds and mandates only, the portfolio managers have a reduced opportunity set of companies they may consider for investment, as we exclude a significant number of companies which fail specific ESG screens for each strategy. This is an independent process run by the Manager, Responsible Investment, where companies that rate poorly on ESG, ethical and SRI issues (varies by portfolio) are identified and excluded, with the assistance of external research. These portfolios suit a range of investors who require a 'higher standard' of ESG/Ethical filtering, e.g. they may not be permitted or have decided not to invest in tobacco, munitions or nuclear companies or those who rank poorly on sustainability or social responsibility measures.







Description

We negatively screen by:

- Our Quality Filters (which apply to all the funds/products managed by our equities team) include ESG issues. The first of our Quality Filters, Sound Management, includes an assessment of the governance (G) practices of a company and management. The third of our quality filters, Quality of Business, includes an assessment of issues such as environmental (E) and social (S) factors that may impact the future performance of a company. Companies that fail our Quality Filters are not considered for investment.
- In addition, a number of portolios (see LEI 03) have additional screens to exclude a further set of companies that rate poorly on ethical/ESG issues.

□ Positive/best-in-class screening

□ Norms-based screening

LEI 06.2 Describe how the screening criteria are established, how often the criteria are reviewed and how you notify clients and/or beneficiaries when changes are made.

Quality Filters

Our Quality Filters (which apply to all the funds/products managed by our equities team) include ESG issues. The first of our Quality Filters, Sound Management, includes an assessment of the governance (G) practices of a company and management. The third of our quality filters, Quality of Business, includes an assessment of issues such as environmental (E) and social (S) factors that may impact the future performance of a company. Companies that fail our Quality Filters are not considered for investment. These filters have been a core element of Perpetual's investment process for many years.

Ethical SRI Fund and client 'Ethical' mandates

The Manager, Responsible Investment has formulated filtering processes for these portfolios to identify and exclude companies that rate poorly on ethical/ESG issues, drawing on external ESG research. The portfolio managers of these strategies are subsequently prohibited from buying these companies, and must divest any such companies that fail these screens that are already held.

The Manager, Responsible Investment is responsible for ensuring these filters are up-to-date (by design) and are regularly re-run to capture new companies and new company or external research information.

Any criteria changes are processed through a defined internal approval process and communicated to clients as needed.

LEI 07	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1



LEI 07.1 Indicate which processes your organisation uses to ensure screening is based on robust analysis.

☑ Comprehensive ESG research is undertaken or sourced to determine companies' activities and products.

☑ Companies are given the opportunity by you or your research provider to review ESG research on them and correct inaccuracies

□ External research and data used to identify companies to be excluded/included is subject to internal audit by ESG/RI staff, the internal audit function or similar

Company ESG information/ratings are updated regularly to ensure that portfolio holdings comply with fund policies

☑ A committee or body with representatives independent of the individuals who conduct company research reviews some or all screening decisions

□ A periodic review of the quality of the research undertaken or provided is carried out

□ Other, specify

□ None of the above

LEI 07.2 Additional information. [Optional]

Please note, our 07.10 responses apply only to the screening processes that apply to our specialist ESG screened strategies (Ethical SRI Fund and client 'Ethical' mandates).

The Quality Filters (including ESG) screening that applies across all equities strategies managed by the team is conducted based only on internal research. The more intensive ESG research occurs through the ESG Dashboard (ESG integration) process described in this module, which applies to all stocks passing the Quality Filters.

(C	(C) Implementation: Integration of ESG issues						
LEI 10	Mandate	bry	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1		
	LEI 10.1	Indicate if E, S and G issue active strategies.	es are reviewed while	e researching companies and	or sectors in		
)				



ESG issues	Coverage/extent of review on these issues				
Environmental	Environmental				
	We systematically review the potential significance of environmental issues and investigate them accordingly				
	\odot We occasionally review the potential significance of environmental issues and investigate them accordingly				
	○ We do not review environmental issues				
Social	Social				
	• We systematically review the potential significance of social issues and investigate them accordingly				
	\odot We occasionally review the potential significance of social issues and investigate them accordingly				
	○ We do not review social issues				
Corporate Governance	Corporate Governance				
	• We systematically review the potential significance of corporate governance issues and investigate them accordingly				
	\odot We occasionally review the potential significance of corporate governance issues and investigate them accordingly				
	○ We do not review corporate governance issues				
LEI 10.2	Additional information. [Optional]				

Our Quality Filters and ESG Dashboard processes address these ESG risks systematically, as do our external ESG research providers who conduct company research.

_						
	Outputs and outcomes					
LEI 14 Vo		Volu	untary	Public	Descriptive	PRI 1
LEI 14.1		1	Indicate how your ESG incorporation portfolio(s) or investment universe.	n strategies have	influenced the compositio	on of your
	☑ Screening		J			



Describe any reduction in your starting investment universe or other effects.

ESG issues have always been considered in our assessment of the quality of a company through our extensive fundamental research. The first of our Quality Filters, Sound Management, includes an assessment of the governance (G) practices of a company and management. The third of our quality filters, Quality of Business, includes an assessment of issues such as environmental (E) and social (S) factors that may impact the future performance of a company. Companies that fail our Quality Filters are not considered for investment.

☑ Integration of ESG issues



Our analysts consider key ESG risks (contained in our ESG Dashboards) in stock ranking (over or underweight) recommendations which are communicated to portfolio managers.

Portfolio construction - our ESG Dashboards communicate key ESG risks to portfolio managers so they may consider same in their investment decision-making.



No



LEI 17.3 Indicate the information your organisation proactively discloses to the public regarding your approach to ESG incorporation.

- Broad approach to ESG incorporation
- \odot Detailed explanation of ESG incorporation strategy used

LEI 17.4 Indicate how frequently you typically report this information to the public.

- \bigcirc Quarterly or more frequently
- \bigcirc Biannually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Ad-hoc/when requested

LEI 17.5 Indicate the information your organisation proactively discloses to clients/ beneficiaries regarding your approach to ESG incorporation.

- Broad approach to ESG incorporation
- Detailed explanation of ESG incorporation strategy used

LEI 17.6 Indicate how frequently you typically report this information to clients/beneficiaries.

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- O Less frequently than annually
- Ad-hoc/when requested
- We disclose it to clients and/or beneficiaries only
- O We do not proactively disclose it to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries

LEI 17.7

Additional information. [Optional]

We highly value our clients and provide additional information and support to them (over and above our public disclosure). However we believe our public disclosure on ESG integration is sufficient.



Perpetual Investments

Reported Information

Public version

Direct - Listed Equity Active Ownership

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.



Engagement

Overview Overview Public Core Assessed PRI 2

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 01.1	Indicate whether your organisation has a formal engagement policy.
● Yes	

LEA 01.2 Indicate what your engagement policy covers:

□ Conflicts of interest

- □ Prioritisation of engagements
- ☑ Transparency
- Environmental factors
- ☑ Social factors
- ☑ Governance factors
- □ Engagements following on from decisions
- □ Other, describe
- □ None of the above

LEA 01.4 Provide a brief overview of your organization's approach to engagement

The following policies/guidelines cover our corporate engagement activities:

- Responsible Investment Policy
- Corporate Governance and Proxy Voting Policy
- Asset Management Guideline: Issues Management with Investee Companies

It is our policy that investment managers have a duty to use their influence as a shareholder/asset manager in order to achieve better investment outcomes over the long term. From an ESG perspective this means encouraging the Boards and management of investee companies to:

- have the processes and systems in place to identify and manage relevant ESG risks and opportunities effectively;
- be transparent, honest and accountable, which includes providing the level of disclosure necessary for informed investment decision-making; and
- implement corporate structures and management incentives which ensure the company is managed in the long-term interests of shareholders (which includes sustainable business practices).

For equity investments our investment managers have a number of forums in which they can exercise their influence, including:

- Expressing concerns to the company's management directly
- Expressing concerns through the company's advisers



- Meeting with the Chairman, senior independent director or with other independent directors to
 express concerns directly
- Voting against or abstaining from voting with regard to management resolutions at shareholder's meetings
- Engaging in 'active public intervention', and
- Full or partial divestment.

 \bigcirc No

LEA 02	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	PRI 1,2,3

LEA 02.1 Indicate the method of engagement, giving reasons for the interaction.

Type of engagement	Reason for interaction
Individual/Internal staff	$\ensuremath{\boxdot}$ To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues
engagements	☑ To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure
	□ Other, specify
	□ We do not engage via internal staff
Collaborative engagements	□ To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues
	To encourage improved/inreased ESG disclosure
	□ Other, specify
	☑ We do not engage via collaborative engagements
Service provider engagements	□ To influence corporate practice (or identify the need to influence) on ESG issues
	To encourage improved/increased ESG disclosure
	□ Other, specify
	☑ We do not engage via service providers

Process

Process for engagements run internally					
LEA 03	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2	

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.





LEA 03.1 Indicate whether your organisation has a formal process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities carried out by internal staff.

 \bigcirc Yes

No

LEA 03.3 Additional information. [Optional]

We continue to note this indicator is not helpful in communicating how Perpetual undertakes corporate engagement.

This indicator may be valid for a small fund manager or asset owner with limited ESG engagement resources (i.e. who needs to prioritise), or for a signatory with a separate ESG engagement team (i.e. with priorities that may differ from investment decision-makers as per below).

However, Perpetual's engagement activities (including ESG) are an integrated part of our large equity team's investment process. Having our investment decision-makers carry out engagement (where it is material and relevant to their analysis and investment decision-making), rather than by a separate ESG team, we strongly believe is more valid and effective. That is because the 'change message' is being communicated to the company by the same individuals who control our buy/sell/hold decision (i.e. whether to provide or withdraw capital to the company). Clearly this will increase the motivation of company Boards/management to consider change. In summary, we engage on a 'bottom-up', company-by-company basis as needed, rather than running 'top-down' ESG 'campaigns' on the same issue across multiple companies at the same time.

Therefore, for us it is unnecessary and impractical to institute 'a formal process for identifying and prioritising engagement activities' as per this question. This would mean in practise our equity professionals debating the worth of their respective proposed company engagements against other equity professionals' proposed engagements. We doubt any equity team would manage money in this way. At Perpetual, equity professionals are given trust to achieve their performance objectives via different approaches, not micro-managed in this way.

LEA 04 Mandatory Public Core Assessed PRI 2					
	LEA 04	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

Yes

- Yes, for all engagement activities
- Yes, for the majority of engagement activities
- Yes, for a minority of engagement activities
- O We do not define specific objectives for engagement activities carried out by internal staff.

LEA 04.2 Indicate if you monitor the actions that companies take following your engagements.

Yes

- Yes, in all cases
- Yes, in the majority of cases
- \odot Yes, in the minority of cases

 \odot We do not monitor the actions that companies take following engagement activities carried out by internal staff.



LEA 04.3

Indicate whether your organisation defines milestones and goals for engagement activities carried out by internal staff.

Yes

LEA 04.4 Indicate if you do any of the following to monitor and evaluate the progress of your engagement activities carried out by internal staff.

- □ Define timelines for milestones and goals
- □ Tracking, monitoring progress against defined milestones and goals
- $\hfill\square$ Establish a process for when the goals are not met
- □ Revisit and, if necessary revise goals on continuous basis
- ☑ Other, please specify

other description

Specific to the engagement, depending on complexity, importance, etc.

 \bigcirc No

LEA 04.5 Additional information. [Optional]

While it might be considered best practise, setting up a rigorous engagement tracking process as encouraged here can be problematic, due to:

- In our experience corporate engagement (on ESG or other matters) is an inexact science which can in
 some cases take years to bear fruit (if at all), so the frequent re-analysis of engagements above may
 not be productive. As already discussed in this module (LEA 03.3), this would represent more
 'process' and we question the benefit to our clients of our equity professionals in particular spending
 time implementing a process to this degree, at the expense of focussing on clearly value-adding work.
 Some larger signatories with dedicated ESG teams may be able to achieve this level of process for
 their engagements, but we question to what end? Do they achieve better outcomes?
- Engagements are often sensitive (e.g. Board changes) so external disclosure (especially public) of progress can in practise jeopardise outcomes we do so occasionally but always think very carefully before going down this adversarial route (i.e. essentially putting public pressure on companies to encourage change). We often achieve better outcomes with a constructive confidential approach.
- We are usually unaware if other shareholders are engaging (and if so to what degree) on the same issue, hence are often reluctant to claim sole credit for a positive engagement outcome merely to complete the engagement 'process'.

General processes for all three groups of engagers					
LEA 10	Mandate	Mandatory		Gateway	PRI 2
	LEA 10.1 Indicate if you track the num		er of engagements	your organisation participates in.	



Type of engagement	Tracking engagements
Individual / Internal staff engagements	 Yes, we track the number of our engagements in full Yes, we partially track the number of our engagements We do not track

LEA 10.2 Additional information. [OPTIONAL]

Perpetual's analysts and portfolio managers conduct and participate in hundreds of company meetings a year, with listed companies themselves, related peers/suppliers and other relevant groups, on a broad range of ESG and non-ESG issues, at a range of forums, individually and collectively, and for both information-gathering and engagement purposes. We typically do not 'segregate' meetings by the above aims, nor by issue categorization (ESG and non-ESG) as this would often be impractical. We believe it would be unworkable to impose a rigid, time-consuming process where it is mandatory for investment professionals to document every single company engagement point relating to every company. Analysts and portfolio managers share relevant, material ESG and other company information with the rest of the team via the IRN (Internal Research Note) and other systems/forums as needed. We see no value to our clients of tracking engagements in this manner.

We believe it is only reliable to highlight specific engagement examples and outcomes (see LEA 15) where we are confident we have had a degree of influence.





LEA 16.3

Indicate what engagement information your organisation proactively discloses to the public.

- I Details of the selections, priorities and specific goals of engagement
- □ Number of engagements
- □ Breakdown of engagements by type/topic
- □ Breakdown of engagements by region
- $\ensuremath{\boxtimes}$ An assessment of the current status of the engagement
- $\ensuremath{\boxtimes}$ Outcomes that have been achieved from the engagement
- $\hfill\square$ Other information

LEA 16.4 Indicate how frequently you typically report engagements information to the public.

- O Disclosed continuously (prior to and post engagements)
- Disclosed quarterly or more frequently
- Disclosed biannually
- Disclosed annually
- Disclosed less frequently than annually
- \bigcirc Ad-hoc/when requested

LEA 16.5 Indicate what engagement information your organisation proactively discloses to clients/beneficiaries.

- ☑ Details of the selections, priorities and specific goals of engagement
- □ Number of engagements
- □ Breakdown of engagements by type/topic
- □ Breakdown of engagements by region
- ☑ An assessment of the current status of the engagement
- ☑ Outcomes that have been achieved from the engagement
- $\ensuremath{\boxdot}$ Other information

More detailed information on the above for the benefit of our clients

LEA 16.6

Indicate how frequently you typically report engagements information to clients/beneficiaries.

- O Disclosed continuously (prior to and post engagements)
- O Disclosed quarterly or more frequently
- O Disclosed biannually
- Disclosed annually
- \odot Disclosed less frequently than annually
- O Ad hoc/when requested
- \odot We disclose it to clients and/or beneficiaries only
- We do not proactively disclose it to the public and/or clients/beneficiaries.

LEA 16.8 Additional information. [Optional]

As a commercial organisation we highly value our clients and believe they warrant disclosure of information over and above public information.

Also we note engagements are often sensitive (e.g. Board changes) so external disclosure (especially public) of progress can jeopardise outcomes - we do so occasionally but always think very carefully before going down this adversarial route (i.e. essentially putting public pressure on companies to encourage change). We often achieve better outcomes with a constructive confidential approach.

(Proxy) voting and shareholder resolutions

Overview				
LEA 17	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	PRI 1,2,3

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

LEA 17.1	Indicate whether your organisation has a formal voting policy.			
● Yes				
LEA 17	.2 Indicate what your voting policy covers:			
⊠ Conf	flicts of interest			
☑ Prior	ritisation of voting activities			
☑ Tran	sparency			
☑ Deci	sion making processes			
🗆 Envii	ronmental factors			
🗆 Socia	al factors			
⊡ Gove	ernance factors			
🗆 Filing	Filing/co-filing resolutions			
🗆 Extra	aordinary meetings			
□ Shar	□ Share blocking			
🗆 Regi	Regional voting practices			
□ Reco	Record keeping			
⊠ Com	☑ Company dialogue pre/post vote			
🗆 Secu	□ Securities lending process			
□ Othe	□ Other, describe			
🗆 None	e of the above			
_				



URL

https://www.perpetual.com.au/~/media/Perpetual/PDF/Shareholders/Proxy-voting-policy-flyerwl.ashx?la=en

LEA 17.4 Provide a brief overview of your organization's approach to (proxy) voting.

Voting rights are a valuable asset of the investor and are intrinsically linked to the expression of our views on corporate governance practices and to our monitoring of the governance of the companies we invest in.

Perpetual supports governance standards and commonly accepted good governance practices including the following areas:

- Respect for shareholders
- Board function
- Audit
- Remuneration

We will always consider a company's governance practices in the context of what is in the best interests of our clients, and have appropriate regard to the company's circumstances. Where we perceive a company's governance has some material deficiencies we will normally seek to engage with the company.

Voting at company meetings is carried out by us on all resolutions where Perpetual has the voting authority and responsibility to do so (where practical). All resolutions are considered on a case by case basis. Voting decisions will be made in the clients' best interests. To assist in decision making we subscribe to independent external proxy advisory services.

The policy includes processes for managing conflicts of interests for short positions.

Infrequently we file our own shareholder resolutions or called our own shareholder meetings.

LEA 17.5 Provide an overview of how you ensure your voting policy is adhered to, giving details of your approach when exceptions to the policy are made (if applicable).

The policy applies to all of the corporate governance monitoring and proxy voting activities of Perpetual Investment Management Limited's fundamental active equity managers in respect of companies we invest in.

While we will actively promote the governance practices in the Policy through the way in which we vote and our company engagement, we acknowledge that there are instances where one or more of these practices may not be possible during particular stages of a company's development or in certain circumstances e.g. for tightly-held companies. We will always consider a company's corporate governance practices in the context of what is in the best interests of our clients, and have appropriate regard to the company's circumstances.

Perpetual's Manager, Responsible Investment (ESG professional) considers all voting issues and makes independent voting recommendations to the Head of Equities. Decisions are also informed by independent proxy advise services.

\bigcirc No

Process				
LEA 18	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	PRI 2



LEA 18.1 Indicate how you typically make your (proxy) voting decisions.

Approach

• We use our own research or voting team and make voting decisions without the use of service providers.

• We hire service provider(s) that make voting recommendations or provide research that we use to inform our voting decisions.

Based on

○ the service provider voting policy signed off by us

- our own voting policy
- O our clients' requests or policy
- other, explain

 \bigcirc We hire service provider(s) that make voting decisions on our behalf, except for some pre-defined scenarios for which we review and make voting decisions.

○ We hire service provider(s) that make voting decisions on our behalf.

LEA 18.2 Additional information.[Optional]

On all proxy voting decisions, our Head of Equities makes the final voting decision after consideration of:

- the voting and governance principles set out in Our Corporate Governance and Proxy Voting Policy. An
 internal ESG resource (The Manager, Responsible Investment) assists in this process;
- the internal views of relevant company analysts and portfolio managers; and
- the proxy report, including recommendations, from our external proxy adviser this includes consideration of current governance norms and standards (e.g. on executive remuneration, director independence, etc.).

Paramount in this process is the principle that voting decisions are be made in the clients' best interests.

LEA 22	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

Yes, in most cases

- \odot Sometimes, in the following cases:
- O Neither we nor our service provider raise concerns with companies ahead of voting



LEA 22.2 Indicate whether you and/or the service provider(s) acting on your behalf, communicate the rationale to companies, when , you abstain or vote against management recommendations.

- Yes, in most cases
- \odot Sometimes, in the following cases.
- O We do not communicate the rationale to companies

 \odot Not applicable because we and/or our service providers do not abstain or vote against management recommendations





LEA 23.2 Explain your reason(s) for not voting certain holdings

- Shares were blocked
- $\hfill\square$ Notice, ballots or materials not received in time
- $\hfill\square$ Missed deadline
- □ Geographical restrictions (non-home market)
- □ Cost
- $\hfill\square$ Conflicts of interest
- $\hfill\square$ Holdings deemed too small

☑ Administrative impediments (e.g., power of attorney requirements, ineligibility due to participation in share placement)

- \Box We do not vote on environmental resolutions
- $\hfill\square$ We do not vote on social resolutions
- □ On request by clients
- □ Other
- \bigcirc We do not track or collect this information



• Yes, we track this information

LEA 24.2 Of the voting instructions that you and/or third parties on your behalf issued, indicate the proportion of ballot items that were:

Voting instructions	Breakdown as percentage of votes cast
For (supporting) management recommendations	90 %
Against (opposing) management recommendations	%
	7
Abstentions	
	%
	3

100%



LEA 24.3 Describe the actions you take after voting against management recommendations.

We normally engage with the company if we are considering a contentious voting resolution. Should we decide not to support the resolution (vote against or abstain), we normally inform the company of the decision and the reasons for our decision. This may form the basis for further engagement and/or monitoring with/of the company, for example if the issue is ongoing.

 \bigcirc No, we do not track this information





LEA 27.4 Indicate how frequently you typically report voting information to the public.

- Continuously (primarily before meetings)
- \odot Continuously (soon after votes are cast)
- Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- \odot Less frequently than annually
- Ad hoc/as requested

LEA 27.5 Indicate the voting information your organisation proactively discloses to clients/beneficiaries.

Indicate how much of your voting record you disclose

- All voting decisions
- \bigcirc Some voting decisions
- \odot Only abstentions and opposing vote decisions
- $\ensuremath{\bigcirc}$ Summary of votes only

Indicate what level of explanation you provide

- Explain all voting decisions
- Explain some voting decisions
- O Only explain abstentions and votes against management
- No explanations provided

LEA 27.6 Indicate how frequently you report voting information to clients/beneficiaries.

- Continuously (primarily before meetings)
- Continuously (soon after votes are cast)
- O Quarterly or more frequently
- Between quarterly and annually
- O Less frequently than annually
- Other
- \bigcirc We disclose it to clients/beneficiaries only
- O We do not proactively disclose our voting activities to the public and/or to clients/beneficiaries

LEA 27.8 Additional information. [Optional]

Perpetual considered and voted on thousands of separate resolutions over the year, so composing and publishing an explanation on every single voting decisions is unrealistic.

